UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,232	08/18/2003	Jens Garner	442-194	3305
7590 01/11/2007 Charles R. Hoffmann HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP.			EXAMINER	
			RUTLAND WALLIS, MICHAEL	
6900 Jericho Tu Syosset, NY 11	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2, 23000, 111 11			2836	
			,	ĵ
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/643,232	GARNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Rutland-Wallis	2836				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/27	<u>7/2006</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 February 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/27/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments and amendments, filed 11/27/2006, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-12 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made below.

Abstract

Art Unit: 2836

The abstract is objected to because of the following informalities: lines 3 and 6 of the abstract "or/or" is recited, this is understood as a typographical error and should be corrected to "and/or" or "or".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1-7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stoll (U.S. Pat. No. 6,169,338) in view of Koshinaka et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,711,507)

With respect to claims 1 and 12 Stoll teaches a pneumatic arrangement comprising a plurality of servicing modules (items 10 11 and 13) for the preparation of compressed air, which are arranged on a common bus system (item 42 bus line), and a control module (item 10 monitoring module controls and monitors) connected with the bus system for monitoring functions and for the servicing modules, wherein a valve arrangement (item 20 and 51 for example valves associated with each module to perform their respective function) is also connected with such common bus system, the control module (Stoll teaches the monitoring unit monitors the valves of the switching and may also be used in control or regulation) being also designed for the

implementation of monitoring functions for the valves of the valve arrangement together with the servicing modules and the valve arrangement constitutes a subassembly. Stoll teaches the increased modularity and subassemblies of the system in figure 1 reduce costs and repair time (module 11 switching valve module is a separate module and assembly). Stoll does not teach the implementation of a plurality of valves of a valve arrangement wherein the plurality of valves are not positioned within, and do not form part of, the plurality of servicing modules. Koshinaka teaches a pneumatic arrangement and a plurality of valves (Fig. 1, items 250-253) arranged between servicing modules (meters and shutoff valves) and actuators or devices (items 31-33), which are not formed within, or part of the air driven actuators or devices (items 31-33). Koshinaka further teaches a control and monitoring connections (item 5 and 6) to detect the operating states and leak detection of the modules, valves and associated connections (col. 2 lines 4-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Stoll to include the a valve arrangement such as the type seen in Koshinaka in order to monitor and control the operating states of the servicing modules and/or to determine leakage of compressed air in the system.

With respect to claim 2 Stoll teaches the valves and the servicing modules are arranged in a row on the common bus system (Fig. 3 or 4).

With respect to claim 3 Stoll teaches the bus system is designed in the form of a bus conductor bar (item 42 bus line bar), which preferably comprises individual bar elements able to be plugged or attached together, the modules and the valve arrangement being able to be arranged in a row (see fig. 1) with the bus conductor bar.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,232

Art Unit: 2836

With respect to claim 4 Stoll teaches wherein the control module is integrated in one of the servicing modules or is arranged as a separate module on the bus system or on the valve arrangement (see arrangement Fig. 2).

With respect to claim 5 Stoll teaches the control module is arranged between the valve arrangement and the servicing modules (see arrangement Fig. 2).

With respect to claim 6 Stoll teaches an electrical and/or pneumatic adapter module is arranged between the valve arrangement and the servicing modules on the bus system.

With respect to claim 7 Stoll teaches the control module possesses a field bus interface for an external bus system (column 5 Stoll teaches the addition of other modules to the bus).

With respect to claim 9 Stoll teaches the servicing modules are partly provided with sensors (the control module may control and monitor the pressure column 5 lines 5-13), more particularly with pressure sensors, whose output signals can be transmitted by way of the bus system to the control module.

With respect to claim 10 Stoll teaches the control module is provided with a monitoring means for the valve arrangement and the servicing modules, such means being more especially adapted to be effective for more than one system.

With respect to claim 11 Stoll teaches comprising optical indicating means (display), such means serving more especially for diagnostic messages.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stoll (U.S. Pat. No. 6,169,338) in view of Koshinaka et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,711,507) as applied to

Art Unit: 2836

claim 1 above, and further in view of Nagai et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,884,664) Stoll teaches a display (item 24) is integrated in the control module or as a separate component. Stoll does not teach the use of wireless communication system. Nagai teaches pneumatic control system where an interface system between a control and an outside apparatus is done through wireless communication. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time of the invention to modify Stoll to use wireless transmission as a means to interface outside control module in order to have a remote control terminal.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kambli et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 7,031,850) teaches a similar system and arrangement as that disclosed in Koshinaka.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Rutland-Wallis whose telephone number is 571-272-5921. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30AM-6:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 571-272-2058. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,232

Art Unit: 2836

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MRW

STEPHEN W. JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kephen Mackson